FILED
IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

★ MAR 1 5 2010 ★



BROOKLYN OFFICE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT C EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW	YORK			
DANIEL ROMERO and JOAN		JUDGMENT 09-CV- 0665 (ARR)		
	Plaintiffs,	09-C V- 0003 (AICC)		
-against-				
BORDEN EAST RIVER REALTY LLC and JOSEPH SIMONE,				
	Defendants.			
WILLIAM LEE and SZUYU PA				
	Plaintiffs,	09-CV- 1721 (ARR)		
-against-				
BORDEN EAST RIVER REALTY LLC and JOSEPH SIMONE,				
	Defendants.			
KYUNG YEOL SHIN,	Λ			
	Plaintiff,	09-CV- 0485 (ARR)		
-against-				
BORDEN EAST RIVER REALTY LLC and JOSEPH SIMONE,				
	Defendants.			
				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
LORA KAYE,	Λ		
	Plaintiff,	09-CV- 1228 (ARR	
-against-			
BORDEN EAST RIVER REALTY LLC and JOSEPH SIMONE,			
	Defendants.		
JOHN GAENZLER and SARA MOSCOSO-GAENZLER,			
	Plaintiffs,	09-CV- 1303 (ARR)	
-against-			
BORDEN EAST RIVER REALT JOSEPH SIMONE,	ΓY LLC and		
	Defendants.		
ANTHONY ALLICINO,	X		
	Plaintiff,	09-CV- 1455 (ARR)	
-against-			
BORDEN EAST RIVER REALTY LLC and JOSEPH SIMONE,			
	Defendants.		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF NEW		
JULIE AND DAVID LIEVRE,	X	
	Plaintiffs,	09-CV- 1984 (ARR)
-against-		
BORDEN EAST RIVER REAL JOSEPH SIMONE,	TY LLC and	
	Defendants.	
ZACK FERGUSON-STEGER,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Plaintiff,	09-CV- 1196 (ARR)
-against-		
BORDEN EAST RIVER REAL JOSEPH SIMONE,	TY LLC and	
	Defendants.	

An Opinion and Order of Honorable Allyne R. Ross, United States District Judge, having been filed on March 12, 2010, finding that defendants' projects are exempt from ILSA's registration and disclosure requirements pursuant to the Hundred Lot Exemption, 15 U.S.C. § 1702(b)(1), and the Improved Lot Exemption, 15 U.S.C. § 1702(b)(2); denying plaintiffs' motions for partial summary judgment seeking rescission of their purchase agreements, return of their deposits, and interest and attorneys' fees; and granting defendants' motions for summary judgment; it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that defendants' projects are exempt from ILSA's registration and disclosure requirements pursuant to the Hundred Lot Exemption, 15 U.S.C. § 1702(b)(1), and the Improved Lot Exemption, 15 U.S.C. § 1702(b)(2); that plaintiffs'

Page 4

JUDGMENT 09-CV- 0665 (ARR)

motions for partial summary judgment seeking rescission of their purchase agreements, return of their deposits, and interest and attorneys' fees are denied; and that defendants' motions for summary judgment are granted.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York

March 12, 2010

Robert C. Heinemann

Clerk of Court

By:

Terry Vaughn Chief Deputy of Court Operations